arXiv:1410.0040v2 [math.CO] 7 Oct 2014

3-Colouring graphs without triangles or induced paths on seven vertices

Flavia Bonomo*† Maya Stein‡§ Oliver Schaudt¶

October 8, 2014

Abstract

We present an algorithm to 3-colour a graph G without triangles or induced paths on seven vertices in $O(|V(G)|^7)$ time. In fact, our algorithm solves the list 3-colouring problem, where each vertex is assigned a subset of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ as its admissible colours.

Keywords: 3-colouring, list 3-colouring, {P_7,triangle}-free graphs, polynomial time algorithm.
MSC: 05C15, 05C85, 05C38.

1 Introduction

A *colouring* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $f : V \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(v) \neq f(w)$ whenever $vw \in E$. A *k-colouring* is a colouring f such that $f(v) \leq k$ for every $v \in V$. The *vertex colouring problem* takes as input a graph G and a natural number k, and consists in deciding whether G is k-colourable or not. This well-known problem is one of Karp's 21 NP-complete problems [12]. It remains NP-complete even for triangle-free graphs [15], even if $k \geq 3$ is fixed.

In order to take into account particular constraints that arise in practical settings, more elaborate models of vertex colouring have been defined in the literature. One of such generalized models is the *list-colouring problem*, which considers a prespecified set of available colours for each vertex. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a finite list $L(v) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for each vertex $v \in V$, the list-colouring problem asks for a *list-colouring* of G, i.e., a colouring f such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for every $v \in V$. Since list-colouring generalizes k-colouring, it is NP-complete as well. Nevertheless, if $|L(v)| \leq 2$ for each vertex v in V, the instance can be solved in $O(|V|^2)$ time, by reducing the problem to a 2-SAT instance [4, 17].

Many classes of graphs where the vertex colouring problem is polynomially solvable are known, the most prominent being the class of perfect graphs [5]. For a set M of graphs, a graph G is *M-free* if no member of M is an induced subgraph of G, and for a graph H, a graph G is H-free

*Partially supported by MathAmSud Project 13MATH-07 (Argentina–Brazil–Chile–France), UBACyT Grants 20020100100980 and 20020130100808BA, CONICET PIP 112-200901-00178 and 112-201201-00450CO and ANPCyT PICT 2012-1324 (Argentina).

†Departamento de Computación, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: fbonomo@dc.uba.ar

‡Partially supported by MathAmSud Project 13MATH-07, and Fondecyt grant 1140766.

§Centro de Modelamiento Matemático, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: mstein@dim.uchile.cl

¶Institut für Informatik, Universität zu Köln, Germany. E-mail: schaudto@uni-koeln.de

if it is $\{H\}$-free. Let P_k and C_k denote a path and a cycle on k vertices, respectively. We will call the graph C_3 a *triangle*.

The following is an overview of the complexity of colouring problems on H-free graphs. Kamiński and Lozin [11] and independently Král, Kratochvíl, Tuza, and Woeginger [13], proved that, for any fixed $k \geq 3$ and $g \geq 3$, the k-colouring problem is NP-complete for the class of graphs containing no cycle of length less than g. In particular, for any graph H containing a cycle, the k-colouring problem is NP-complete for $k \geq 3$ on H-free graphs. On the other hand, if H is a forest with a vertex of degree at least 3, then k-colouring is NP-complete for H-free graphs and $k \geq 3$ [8, 14]. Combining these results, the remaining cases are those in which H is a union of disjoint paths. There is a nice recent survey by Hell and Huang on the complexity of colouring graphs without paths and cycles of certain lengths [6].

The strongest known results related with our work are due to Huang [9] who proved that 4-colouring is NP-complete for P_7-free graphs, and that 5-colouring is NP-complete for P_6-free graphs. On the positive side, Hoàng, Kamiński, Lozin, Sawada, and Shu [7] have shown that k-colouring can be solved in polynomial time on P_5-free graphs for any fixed k. These results give a complete classification of the complexity of k-colouring P_t-free graphs for any fixed $k \geq 5$, and leave only the case of P_6-free graphs open for $k = 4$. For $k = 3$, it is not known whether or not there exists any t such that 3-colouring is NP-complete on P_t-free graphs. Randerath and Schiermeyer [16] gave a polynomial time algorithm for 3-colouring P_6-free graphs. Recently, Chudnovsky, Maceli, and Zhong [2, 3] extended this result to 3-colouring P_7-free graphs. They divide the algorithm into two cases: graphs containing a triangle, and triangle-free graphs. The triangle-free case uses a slightly different approach from the one used in this paper, and the computational complexity of their algorithm is $O(|V(G)|^{18})$.

We describe an algorithm, developed independently of the algorithm by Chudnovsky, Maceli, and Zhong [3], that solves 3-colouring for $\{P_7$,triangle$\}$-free graphs.

Theorem 1. *Given a $\{P_7$,triangle$\}$-free graph G, it can be decided in $O(|V(G)|^7)$ time whether G admits a 3-colouring. If a 3-colouring exists, it can be computed in the same time.*

It will be clear from the proof that our algorithm can be used even if each vertex is assigned a list of admissible colours from $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and thus solves the so-called list 3-colouring problem. This is not trivial: in the class of (P_6, C_5)-free graphs for example, 4-colouring can be solved in polynomial time [1] while the list 4-colouring problem is NP-complete [10].

1.1 Basic definitions

Let G be a finite, simple, loopless, undirected graph, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The graph G will be called *trivial* if $|V(G)| = 1$.

Let $v \in V(G)$ and $A \subseteq V(G)$. Denote by $N(v)$ the set of neighbours of v in $V(G)$, and by $N(A)$ the set of neighbors of vertices of A in $V(G)$. Two vertices u and v of a graph G are *false twins* if and only if $N(u) = N(v)$ (in particular, they are non-adjacent). Let $A, B \subseteq V(G)$. We denote by $N_B(A)$ the set $N(A) \cap B$. For any $W \subseteq V(G)$, $G[W]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by W. If H is an induced subgraph of G (resp. a subset of vertices of G), we denote by $G - H$ the graph $G[V(G) - V(H)]$ (resp. $G[V(G) - H]$).

A *stable set* is a subset of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. A graph G is *bipartite* if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two stable sets.

2 The structure of the graph G

2.1 The core structure

Let a $\{P_7$,triangle$\}$-free graph G be given, with n vertices and m edges. We may assume that G is connected. If G is bipartite, which can be decided in $O(m)$ time, then G is 2-colourable and we are done. So assume G is not bipartite. Since G is $\{P_7$,triangle$\}$-free, the shortest odd cycle of G has either length 5 or length 7, and it is an induced cycle. We first discuss the case that G contains no C_5.

Claim 1. *If G is C_5-free, then after identifying false twins in G, the remaining graph is C_7.*

Proof. As argued above, since G is not bipartite and contains no P_7, triangle, or C_5, we know G contains an induced cycle $C = v_1, \ldots, v_7$ of length 7. Suppose some vertex $v \in V(G - C)$ has neighbours in C. If v has only one neighbour v_i, then G contains an induced P_7, namely $v, v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{i-2}$. (As usual, index operations are done modulo 7). By the absence of triangles, v has at most three neighbours in C, and they are pairwise non-consecutive. If v has two neighbours v_i, v_{i+3} at distance three in C, then v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v induce a C_5, a contradiction. So v has only two neighbours and they are at distance two in C.

For $i = 1, \ldots, 7$, let V_i be the set formed by v_i and the vertices not in C whose neighbours in C are v_{i-1} and v_{i+1}. As G is triangle-free, V_i is a stable set. Since G is P_7-free, every vertex in V_i is adjacent to every vertex in V_{i+1}. Moreover, since G is connected and P_7-free, there are no vertices outside $\bigcup_{i=1}^{7} V_i$. As G is $\{\text{triangle}, C_5\}$-free, there are no edges between V_i and V_j, for $j \notin \{i+1, i-1\}$. So, for each i, the vertices of V_i are false twins, and after identifying them we obtain C_7. □

If G is a 'blown-up' C_7, then it is clearly 3-colourable (as false twins can use the same colour). Thus, Claim 1 enables us to assume G has a cycle C of length 5, say its vertices are c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, in this order. From now on, all index operations will be done modulo 5. Because G has no triangles, the neighbourhood N_C of $V(C)$ in G is comprised of 10 sets (some of these possibly empty):

- sets T_i, whose neighbourhood on C is equal to $\{c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}\}$;
- sets D_i, whose only neighbour on C is c_i;

where the indices i go from 1 to 5. Note that, because of G being triangle-free, the sets T_i and D_i are each stable. We set $S := V(C) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} T_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} D_i$. Note that $V(C)$ has no neighbours in $G - S$.

2.2 The non-trivial components of $G - S$

The following list of claims narrows down the structure of the non-trivial components of $G - S$.

Claim 2. *If xy is an edge in $G - S$, then x and y have no neighbours in any of the sets D_i.*

Proof. Suppose that x has a neighbour u in D_1. Then as G is triangle-free, y is not adjacent to u. So $yxuc_1c_2c_3c_4$ is an induced P_7, a contradiction. The other cases are symmetric. □

Claim 3. *If xyz is an induced P_3 in $G - S$, then the neighbourhoods of x and z inside each T_i are identical.*

Proof. Suppose that x has a neighbour u in T_1 that is not a neighbour of z. Then, as G is triangle-free, y is not adjacent to u, either. Also, x and z are not adjacent. So $zyxuc_2c_3c_4$ is an induced P_7, a contradiction. We argue similarly for all other T_i's. □

Claim 4. *$G - S$ is bipartite.*

Proof. Assume $G - S$ has an odd cycle C'. Take a shortest path $P = c'p_1 \dots p_k s$ from $V(C')$ to S. Since G is triangle-free, and C' is odd, there is a induced path $c'_1c'_2c'_3p_1$ with $c'_i \in V(C')$. Further, as P was chosen as a shortest path, there are no edges of the form c'_ip_j except for c'_3p_1, and no edges of the form p_js except for p_ks. So we can complete $c'_1c'_2c'_3p_1 \dots p_ks$ with three vertices from C to obtain an induced path of length at least 7, a contradiction. □

Claim 5. *Let M be a non-trivial component of $G - S$. Then there is a partition of $V(M)$ into stable sets U_1, U_2 such that all vertices in U_i have the same set N_i of neighbours in S, at least one of N_1, N_2 is non-empty, and $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Directly from the two previous claims, and the fact that the graph is connected and triangle-free. □

We need one more claim about independent edges outside S.

Claim 6. *Suppose $vw, xy \in E(G)$ induce a $2K_2$ in $G - S$. Then, for every $i = 1, \dots, 5$, $N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y) \subseteq N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w)$, or $N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w) \subseteq N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y)$.*

Proof. If none of these inclusions holds, then there are vertices $u, z \in T_i$ such that $uv, yz \in E(G)$ and $u \notin N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y)$, $z \notin N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w)$ (after possibly swapping some names). Since G is triangle-free, also $u \notin N_{T_i}(w)$ and $z \notin N_{T_i}(x)$. So, after possibly swapping some names, $xyzc_{i+1}uvw$ is an induced P_7, a contradiction. □

(Observe that we can not extend the last claim to the neighbourhood in all of S, because then u and z might be adjacent.)

2.3 The trivial components of $G - S$

Let W be the set of isolated vertices in $G - S$. We will first show some properties of W and their neighbours in S.

Claim 7. *There is no vertex in W having neighbours in both D_i and D_{i+1}, $i = 1, \dots, 5$.*

Proof. Suppose w has neighbours d_1 in D_1 and d_2 in D_2. Then $d_1wd_2c_2c_3c_4c_5$ is a P_7 in G, a contradiction. The other cases are symmetric. □

As both W and D_i are stable, $G[W \cup D_i]$ is bipartite, for every $i = 1, \dots, 5$. We now show some properties similar to the ones we showed for the non-trivial components of $G - S$ in Section 2.2.

Claim 8. *If xyz is a P_3 in $G[W \cup D_i]$, then the neighbourhoods of x and z inside T_i are identical, for $i = 1, \dots, 5$.*

Proof. Suppose that x has a neighbour u in T_i that is not a neighbour of z. Then as G is triangle-free, y is not adjacent to u, either. So $zyxuc_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3}$ is an induced P_7, a contradiction. □

Claim 9. *Let M be a non-trivial component of $G[W \cup D_i]$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$. Then all vertices in $M \cap W$ have the same set of neighbours in T_i, and all vertices in $M \cap D_i$ have the same set of neighbours in T_i.*

Proof. Directly from the previous claim, and the fact that $G[W \cup D_i]$ is bipartite. □

Finally, we extend Claim 6 to connected components of $G[W \cup D_i]$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$).

Claim 10. *Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$. Suppose $vw, xy \in E(G)$ induce a $2K_2$ in $G[(G - S) \cup D_i]$. Then, $N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y) \subseteq N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w)$, or $N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w) \subseteq N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y)$.*

Proof. If none of these inclusions holds, then there are vertices $u, z \in T_i$ such that $uv, yz \in E(G)$ and $u \notin N_{T_i}(x) \cup N_{T_i}(y)$, $z \notin N_{T_i}(v) \cup N_{T_i}(w)$ (after possibly swapping some names). Since G is triangle-free, also $u \notin N_{T_i}(w)$ and $z \notin N_{T_i}(x)$. So, after possibly swapping names, $xyzc_{i+1}uvw$ is an induced P_7, a contradiction. □

3 The algorithm

Our strategy, as usual for this kind of problem, is to reduce 3-colouring to a polynomial number of instances of list-colouring where the lists have length at most two. The latter problem is known to be solvable in polynomial time via a reduction to 2-SAT [4, 17]. To be precise, we will reduce our problem to a polynomial number of instances of list-colouring where every vertex v either has a list of size at most 2, or there is a colour $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ missing in the list of each of its neighbours, and so v can safely use colour j.

First of all, we fix a colouring of the 5-cycle C (there are 5 essentially different colourings). For each $D \in \{T_1, D_1, \ldots, T_5, D_5\}$, the colouring of C either determines the colour of D, or the vertices of D lose a colour. Notice that, once we have fixed the colouring of C, three of the T_i have their colour determined, while two of them (consecutive sets, actually) have two possible colours left. For instance, if we colour c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5 with $1, 2, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, then vertices in T_1 will be forced to have colour 1, vertices in T_4 colour 2, vertices in T_5 colour 3, vertices in T_2 have the options $\{2, 3\}$ and vertices in T_3 have the options $\{1, 3\}$. The vertices in D_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, have lost one colour each.

We will work with this colouring of $V(C)$, since the other 4 are totally symmetric. In addition to what we observed above about possible colourings of the sets T_i and D_i, we know that each neighbour of $T_1 \cup T_4 \cup T_5$ has already lost a colour. We have to deal now with the vertices having neighbours only in T_2, T_3, and D_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, and vertices having no neighbours in S.

Note that, by Claim 2, no connected component of $G[(G - S) \cup D_i]$ may contain at the same time edges from $G - S$ and vertices of D_i. So, from Claims 5, 9 and 10 we know that for each $i = 2, 3$, we can order the non-trivial components of $G - S$ and of $G[W \cup D_i]$ that have at least one neighbour in T_i according to their neighbourhood in T_i (by inclusion).

Let $N_1^i \subsetneq N_2^i \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_{r_i}^i$, for $i = 2, 3$, be the non-empty neighbourhoods of the non-trivial components of $G - S$ and $G[W \cup D_i]$ in T_i, respectively. Choose an arbitrary vertex $v_0^i \in N_1^i$, set $N_0^i := \{v_0^i\}$, and set $N_{r_i+1}^i := T_i$, for $i = 2, 3$.

Our algorithm now enumerates the following partial colourings. In each partial colouring, some vertices of T_i receive a colour, for both $i = 2, 3$ simultaneously and independently.

(a) There is a $k \in \{0, \ldots, r_i\}$ such that all vertices in N_k^i get colour 2 (resp. 1) and there is a vertex w in $N_{k+1}^i \setminus N_k^i$ getting colour 3.



Figure 1: Scheme of the coloured cycle and its neighbours with their possible colours.

(b) There is a $k \in \{0, \ldots, r_i\}$ such that all vertices in N_k^i get colour 3 and there is a vertex w in $N_{k+1}^i \setminus N_k^i$ getting colour 2 (resp. 1).

(c) All vertices in T_i get colour 2 (resp. 1).

(d) All vertices in T_i get colour 3.

For example, one such partial colouring could be that every vertex of N_6^2 gets colour 2 and a vertex w in $N_7^2 \setminus N_6^2$ gets colour 3, while every vertex in T_3 gets colour 3, assuming that these are valid situations. Note that every 3-colouring that agrees with the colouring of the already coloured induced C_5 is an extension of one of the above mentioned partial colourings.

In each of the partial colourings there might be vertices which have only one colour left on their list. Successively we colour all such vertices. We discard the cases when there are adjacent vertices receiving the same colour, or when some vertex has no colour left.

Claim 11. *For any partial colouring as above and for any vertex x of some non-trivial component M of $G-S$, we have the following. Either x has only two colours left on its list, or there is a colour $j \in \{1,2,3\}$ missing in the list of each of the neighbours of x (and so x can safely use colour j).*

Proof. By Claim 2, for each partial colouring as above, either there are two vertices of different colour in $N(M) \cap S$, or $N(M) \cap S$ is completely coloured. In the first case, either x is adjacent to a coloured vertex in S (so it loses a colour), or, by Claim 5, its neighbours in M have two neighbours in S of different colour, thus fixed their colour, and again, x loses a colour. In the second case, if x

has neighbours in S, then it loses a colour. If not, then again by Claim 5, all the neighbours of x are in M and have lost a common colour j from their coloured neighbours in S, so we are done. □

Claim 12. *For any partial colouring as above and for any vertex of any non-trivial component of $G[W \cup D_i]$, we have the following. If x has a neighbour in T_i, then x has lost a colour on its list.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of the previous claim, replacing Claim 5 with Claim 9, and S with T_i. □

Claim 13. *In any partial colouring as above, every vertex $w \in W$ having neighbours both in T_2 and in T_3 loses a colour.*

Proof. Notice that either T_2 is monochromatic with colour 2, or T_3 is monochromatic with colour 1 (in either case, w loses a colour), or there are two non-adjacent vertices $x_2 \in T_2$ and $x_3 \in T_3$ having colour 3. Let w in W have neighbours y_2 in T_2 and y_3 in T_3. Observe that y_2 and y_3 are not adjacent because there are no triangles. Then either w is adjacent to x_2 or to x_3 (and hence loses a colour), or y_2 is adjacent to x_3, or y_3 is adjacent to x_2, since otherwise $x_3c_4y_3wy_2c_1x_2$ is an induced P_7 in G, a contradiction. If y_2 is adjacent to x_3 then it has to be coloured 2, and if y_3 is adjacent to x_2 then it has to be coloured 1. In either case, w loses a colour. □

In total, we enumerate

$$\begin{aligned} &O((|N_1^2| + |N_2^2 \setminus N_1^2| + \cdots + |N_{r_2+1}^2 \setminus N_{r_2}^2|) \cdot (|N_1^3| + |N_2^3 \setminus N_1^3| + \cdots + |N_{r_3+1}^3 \setminus N_{r_3}^3|)) \\ &= O(|T_2| \cdot |T_3|) \end{aligned}$$

many partial colourings, that is, $O(n^2)$. For each of these, we will solve a set of instances of list-colouring with lists of size at most two, after dealing with the trivial components of $G - S$ as detailed in the next paragraphs.

Since G is connected, each $w \in W$ has a neighbour in S. If w has a neighbour in a set with fixed colour, then w has at most 2 colours on his list. On the other hand, if there is a colour $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ missing in the list of each of its neighbours, then w can safely use colour j. So we have to deal only with vertices in W having no neighbours in T_1, T_4 and T_5, and having neighbours in at least two sets with different colour options in $\{T_2, T_3, D_1, \ldots, D_5\}$. Vertices of W having neighbours in T_2 and D_2, or in T_3 and D_3, have already lost a colour by Claim 12. Vertices of W having neighbours in T_2 and T_3, have already lost a colour by Claim 13.

So, the types of vertices in W we need to consider are the following (a scheme of the situation can be seen in Figure 1).

- *Type 1:* vertices in W having neighbours in T_2 and in D_5; symmetrically, vertices in W having neighbours in T_3 and D_5; vertices in W having neighbours in T_2 and D_4; vertices in W having neighbours in T_3 and D_1.
- *Type 2:* vertices in W having neighbours in D_2 and in D_5; symmetrically, vertices in W having neighbours in D_3 and D_5; and vertices in W having neighbours in D_1 and D_4.

Pick an arbitrary vertex $v_i \in D_i$, for $i = 1, 4, 5$. We now extend each partial colouring from above by further enumerating the colouring of some vertices in D_i, for all $i = 1, 4, 5$ simultaneously and independently. For this, say that $\{a_i, b_i\}$ are the possible colours in D_i.

(e) Vertex v_i gets colour a_i and there is a vertex v' in $D_i - \{v_i\}$ getting colour b_i.

(f) Vertex v_i gets colour b_i and there is a vertex v' in $D_i - \{v_i\}$ getting colour a_i.

(g) All vertices in D_i get colour a_i.

(h) All vertices in D_i get colour b_i.

Again, every 3-colouring of G that agrees with the colouring of the induced C_5 is an extension of one of the enumerated partial colourings.

Notice that for each D_i these are $O(|D_i|)$ cases, so the total number of combinations of cases is $O(n^3)$. Again, we discard a partial colouring if there are adjacent vertices receiving the same colour, or when some vertex has no colour left.

It remains to show that for each partial colouring, all the remaining vertices in W (Type 1 and Type 2) lose a colour.

First we discuss vertices of Type 1. Suppose some w in W has neighbours in T_2 and in D_5. For each case of (e)–(h), either D_5 is monochromatic with colour 1 (so w loses a colour), or there is a vertex x in D_5 with colour 2. Then either w is adjacent to x (and loses a colour), or every neighbour z of it in T_2 is adjacent to x, otherwise, by triangle freeness, $xc_5vwzc_3c_2$, where v is some neighbour of w in D_5, is a P_7, a contradiction. Then z has to be coloured 3, and w loses a colour. The other cases are symmetric.

Now we discuss vertices of Type 2. Suppose some w in W has neighbours in D_2 and in D_5. Such a vertex can be dealt with in exactly the same way as the vertices of Type 1. The only difference is the path P_7, which here is given by $xc_5vwzc_2c_3$, where $x, v \in D_5$ and $z \in D_2$ are neighbours of w. The other cases are symmetric.

4 The overall complexity of the algorithm

4.1 Finding the C_5 and partitioning the graph

We check if G is bipartite in $O(m)$ time. If it is not, we will find either an induced C_5 or an induced C_7. If we find an induced C_7, let us call it X, we check the neighbourhood of $N(X)$ in X: either we find a C_5 or we partition the vertices into sets that are candidates to be false twins of the vertices of X (see Claim 1). The adjacencies must hold because of the P_7-freeness, and the sets are stable and there are no edges between vertices in sets corresponding to vertices at distance 2 in X because of the triangle-freeness. While checking for edges between vertices in sets corresponding to vertices at distance 3 in X, we will either find a C_5 or conclude that the graph is C_5-free and after identifying false twins we obtain C_7. For this, we just need to check for each edge, if their endpoints are labelled with numbers corresponding to vertices at distance 3 in X. The whole step can be done in $O(m)$ time.

Assuming we found an induced C_5, partitioning the neighbours S of this C_5 into the sets $T_1, D_1, \ldots, T_5, D_5$ can be also done in linear time. Moreover, finding the connected components of S and their neighbourhoods on each of the T_i's can be done in $O(m)$ time.

4.2 Exploring the cases to get the list-colouring instances

The number of distinct colourings of the C_5 to consider is 5. For each of them, using the notation of the colouring of the last section, there are $O(n^2)$ many combinations to be tested on T_2 and T_3

in order to deal with the non-trivial components of $G - S$, $G[W \cup D_2]$ and $G[W \cup D_3]$, and the vertices in W having neighbours in both T_2 and T_3 (cases (a)–(d)). For each of them, we have to test all the combinations for D_1, D_4 and D_5 (cases (e)–(h)), that are $O(n^3)$ many, in order to deal with the remaining vertices in W. Finally, for each of these possibilities, we have to solve a list-colouring instance with lists of size at most 2, which can be done in $O(n^2)$ time [4, 17]. Thus, the overall complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^7)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Daniël Paulusma for his observation that our algorithm can actually deal with list 3-colourings.

References

[1] M. Chudnovsky, P. Maceli, J. Stacho, and M. Zhong. 4-coloring p_6-free graphs with no induced 5-cycles. arXiv:1407.2487v1 [cs.DM], July 2014.

[2] M. Chudnovsky, P. Maceli, and M. Zhong. Three-coloring graphs with no induced six-edge path II: using a triangle. In preparation.

[3] M. Chudnovsky, P. Maceli, and M. Zhong. Three-coloring graphs with no induced seven-vertex path I: the triangle-free case. arXiv:1409.5164v1 [math.CO], September 2014.

[4] P. Erdős, A. Rubin, and H. Taylor. Choosability in graphs. *Congressus Numerantium*, 26:125–157, 1979.

[5] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, and A. Schrijver. The ellipsoid method and its consequences in combinatorial optimization. *Combinatorica*, 1:169–197, 1981.

[6] P. Hell and S. Huang. Complexity of coloring graphs without paths and cycles. arXiv:1310.0340v1 [cs.DM], September 2013.

[7] C.T. Hoàng, M. Kamiński, V.V. Lozin, J Sawada, and X. Shu. Deciding k-colorability of P_5-free graphs in polynomial time. *Algorithmica*, 57:74–81, 2010.

[8] I. Holyer. The NP-completeness of edge-coloring. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 10:718–720, 1981.

[9] S. Huang. Improved complexity results on k-coloring P_t-free graphs. In *Proceedings of the Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 2013*, volume 7551 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 551–558, 2013.

[10] S. Huang, M. Johnson, and D. Paulusma. Narrowing the complexity gap for colouring (C_s, P_t)-free graphs. arXiv:1407.1480v1 [cs.CC], July 2014.

[11] M. Kamiński and V.V. Lozin. Coloring edges and vertices of graphs without short or long cycles. *Contributions to Discrete Mathematics*, 2:61–66, 2007.

[12] R. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In R. Miller and J. Thatcher, editors, *Complexity of Computer Computations*, pages 85–103. Plenum Press, New York, 1972.

[13] D. Král, J. Kratochvíl, Zs. Tuza, and G.J. Woeginger. Complexity of coloring graphs without forbidden induced subgraphs. In M.C. Golumbic, M. Stern, A. Levy, and G. Morgenstern, editors, *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science 2001*, volume 2204 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 254–262, 2001.

[14] D. Leven and Z. Galil. NP-completeness of finding the chromatic index of regular graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 4:35–44, 1983.

[15] F. Maffray and M. Preissmann. On the NP-completeness of the k-colorability problem for triangle-free graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 162:313–317, 1996.

[16] B. Randerath and I. Schiermeyer. 3-Colorability $\in$ P for P_6-free graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 136:299–313, 2004.

[17] V. Vizing. Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors. *Metody Diskretnogo Analiza*, 29:3–10, 1976.